

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/905,719	05/16/2002	Thomas R. Ricono	P24624 USA	2560		
23307 7	590 03/02/2004		EXAMINER			
	EDT & LECHNER, LLP	SELF, SHELLEY M				
2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1101 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950			3725	13		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
		Applicatio	n No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/905,71	9		RICONO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r		<u>-</u>	Art Unit				
		Shelley Se			3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cov rsh t	with th	orrespond nc ad	dr ss			
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no ever n. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may tory minimum of expire SIX (6) M cation to become	a reply be time thirty (30) days ONTHS frome ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	03 November 20	<u>03</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 22-42,45 and 46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22,23,26,35,36,42,45 and 46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 24,25,27-34,37-41 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)[o the drawing(s) be orrection is require	e held in abe ed if the draw	yance. Seeing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a) 13)□ / s s 3 a 14)□ /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	ments have been ments have been priority docume ureau (PCT Rule a list of the certifunestic priority under first sentence e provisional apprestic priority ur	n received. n received in nts have be e 17.2(a)). ied copies r ider 35 U.S. of the speci	n Application received C. § 1190 of the contraction	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional r in an Application eeived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen			_						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No		· <u> </u>	-	(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/905,719

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 3, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22, 23, 26 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andrisin, III et al. (5,875,555). With regard to claims 22 and 42, Andrisin discloses a pencil sharpener comprising a first longitudinally elongated external shell (12) having internal ribs defining a first plurality of support surfaces (36), a sharpening sub-assembly (20, 22, 24), a second longitudinally elongated external shell (14) mated with said first external shell, said second external shell having internal ribs defining a second plurality of support surfaces (col. 5, lines 11-13); wherein each support surface extends along an edge of a respective internal rib (37)

Art Unit: 3725

and wherein said first and second pluralities of support surfaces cooperate with each other to laterally position and fixedly retain said sharpening sub assembly within said shells.

With regard to claim 23, said first and second pluralities of support surfaces serve as the sole means of mounting said sharpening sub-assembly.

With regard to claim 26, Andrisin discloses an electric motor (288) operatively connected to said sharpening sub-assembly, said first and second pluralities of support surfaces cooperating with each other to engage and retain said electric motor, said first and second pluralities of support surfaces serving as the sole means for mounting said electric motor in said compartment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 35, 36 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrisin, III et al. (5,875,555) in view of Nakazanki (3,746,061). Andrisin discloses a pencil sharpener comprising a first external shell (12) having internal ribs (36), a cutter assembly (20, 22, 24), a second external shell (14) mated with said first eternal shell wherein each rib comprises a first side and a second side opposite said first side, each of said first and second sides adjoining one of said first and second external shells, each of said first and second pluralities of support surfaces extending between a respective first and second side of a respective rib (37); and wherein said first and second pluralities of support surfaces cooperate with each other. Andrisin does not disclose a cutter assembly defining a ring flange and having a rotatable pinion; a cutter gear module including an annular ring gear meshing with said pinion whereby said cutter assembly is rotatably supported at one end by said gear module. Nakazanki teaches in a similar art the use of a cutter gear module having a ring gear meshing with a pinion

and a cutter assembly including flange and rotatable pinion (figs. 3,5,6-8,11,12). Nakazaki teaches this construction so as to position a and efficiently sharpen a pencil. Because the references are from a similar art and deal with a similar problem sharpening a writing implement, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art to replace Andrisin's gear and cutter module with one having a pinion, ring gear and flange as taught by Nakazaki so as to efficiently sharpen a pencil.

Allowable Subject Matter

Upon further consideration and due to the newly applied applicable prior art reference,
Andrisin some indicated allowable subject matter in a previous Office Action is withdrawn.
Claims 24, 25, 27-34, 37-41 and 46 contain allowable subject matter and are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's remarks have been carefully considered but are not deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection above. The prior art reference Andrisin was made of record in the previous Office Action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is (703) 305-5299. The

Application/Control Number: 09/905,719

Art Unit: 3725

Page 5

examiner can normally be reached Mon-Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Allen Ostrager can be

reached at (703) 308-3136. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

SSelf

February 17, 2004

ALLEN OSTRAGER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700